

TOGAF[®] Certification for People

Conformance Requirements (Multi-Level), Version 2.0 Summary of Changes

December 2011

© Copyright, 2009-2011, The Open Group

All rights reserved.

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, or otherwise, without the prior permission of the copyright owner.

Boundaryless Information Flow™ is a trademark and ArchiMate®, Jericho Forum®, Making Standards Work®, Motif®, OSF/1®, The Open Group®, TOGAF®, UNIX®, and the “X” device are registered trademarks of The Open Group in the United States and other countries.

TOGAF® Certification for People: Conformance Requirements (Multi-Level), Version 2.0 Summary of Changes

Document Number: X112

Published by The Open Group, December 2011.

Comments relating to the material contained in this document may be submitted to:

The Open Group
Apex Plaza
Forbury Road
Reading
Berkshire, RG1 1AX
United Kingdom

or by electronic mail to:

ogspecs@opengroup.org

Contents

1.	Background.....	4
2.	Changes to Level 1 Conformance Requirements.....	5
2.1	Learning Unit 2: Core Concepts.....	5
2.2	Learning Unit 3: General Definitions.....	5
2.3	Learning Unit 4: Introduction to the ADM.....	5
2.4	Learning Unit 5: Enterprise Continuum and Tools.....	5
2.5	Learning Unit 6: ADM Phases (Level 1).....	5
2.6	Learning Unit 8: Architecture Governance (Level 1).....	6
2.7	Learning Unit 10: Building Blocks.....	6
2.8	Learning Unit 11: ADM Deliverables.....	6
3.	Changes to Level 2 Conformance Requirements.....	7
3.1	Learning Unit 1: Preliminary Phase.....	7
3.2	Learning Unit 6: Stakeholder Management.....	7
3.3	Learning Unit 10: Phase C: Information Systems Architectures – Data Architecture.....	7
3.4	Learning Unit 15: Migration Planning Techniques.....	7
3.5	Learning Unit 16: Phase E: Opportunities and Solutions.....	8
3.6	Learning Unit 17: Phase F: Migration Planning.....	8
3.7	Learning Unit 21: Architecture Partitioning.....	8
3.8	Learning Unit 23: Guidelines for Adapting the ADM: Iteration and Levels.....	9
3.9	Learning Unit 25: Guidelines for Adapting the ADM: SOA.....	10

1. BACKGROUND

This is an informative document providing a summary of the changes in the TOGAF Certification for People: Conformance Requirements (Multi-Level), Version 2.0 (X111).

2. CHANGES TO LEVEL 1 CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

2.1 Learning Unit 2: Core Concepts

The following Learning Outcome has been removed:

8. The TOGAF Document Categorization Model (KLP 2.11-1)

2.2 Learning Unit 3: General Definitions

The following Learning Outcomes related to definitions have been removed:

1. Activity (KLP 3.2-1)
10. Architecture View (KLP 3.18-1)
13. Baseline Architecture (KLP 3.22-1)

2.3 Learning Unit 4: Introduction to the ADM

In Learning Outcome 2, change from:

2. Describe a typical set of steps, such as those for Phase D (KLP 5.2.2-2)

to:

2. Describe a typical set of steps, such as those for Phases B, C, and D (KLP 5.2.2-2)

2.4 Learning Unit 5: Enterprise Continuum and Tools

Learning Outcome 16 has been removed:

16. Explain the high-level issues with tool standardization (KLP 42.3-1)

2.5 Learning Unit 6: ADM Phases (Level 1)

In the Preliminary Phase Learning Outcomes, the following aspect of the approach has an editorial update from:

Outlining the enterprise architecture maturity

to:

Evaluating the enterprise architecture maturity

2.6 Learning Unit 8: Architecture Governance (Level 1)

In Learning Outcome 4, change from:

4. List the reasons for having an Architecture Board (KLP 47.1-1)

to:

4. Briefly explain the need for establishment of an Architecture Board (KLP 47.1-1)

2.7 Learning Unit 10: Building Blocks

Learning Outcome 4 has been removed:

4. Briefly explain the approach taken in the Building Blocks Example given in TOGAF Section 37.4 (KLP 37.4-1)

2.8 Learning Unit 11: ADM Deliverables

The following changes have been made to Learning Outcome 2, the Architecture Deliverables:

Change from:

Architecture Requirements

to:

Architecture Requirements Specification

Insert into the list:

Implementation and Migration Plan

Remove from the list:

Transition Architecture

3. CHANGES TO LEVEL 2 CONFORMANCE REQUIREMENTS

3.1 Learning Unit 1: Preliminary Phase

In Learning Outcome 3, the following has been removed:

Discuss the criteria for selection of architecture tools (KLP 6.4.6-1, 42.3-1)

In Learning Outcome 4, change from:

Governance Framework

to:

Architecture Governance Framework

3.2 Learning Unit 6: Stakeholder Management

The references in Learning Outcome 3 to Sections 35.15... have been changed to 35.7... .

3.3 Learning Unit 10: Phase C: Information Systems Architectures – Data Architecture

In Learning Outcome 1, change from:

1. Explain the considerations for the implementation order and approach of the Data and Application Architectures (KLP 9.2)

to:

1. Explain the considerations for the implementation order of the Data and Application Architectures (KLP 9.2)

3.4 Learning Unit 15: Migration Planning Techniques

In Learning Outcome 4, change from:

4. Explain how the Enterprise Architecture State Evolution Table can be used in conjunction with the TRM (KLP 28-4)

to:

4. Explain how the Transition Architecture State Evolution Table can be used in conjunction with the TRM (KLP 28-4)

3.5 Learning Unit 16: Phase E: Opportunities and Solutions

In Learning Outcome 3, change from:

3. Describe the steps to create the initial Implementation and Migration Strategy (KLP 13.4)

to:

3. Describe the steps to create the Implementation and Migration Strategy (KLP 13.4)

3.6 Learning Unit 17: Phase F: Migration Planning

The following changes have been made to the Learning Outcomes:

In Learning Outcome 2, change from:

2. Explain how business value is assigned to each project (KLP 14.4)

to:

2. Explain how business value is assigned to each work package (KLP 14.4)

In Learning Outcome 4, change from:

4. Describe the steps to confirm the Transition Architectures (KLP 14.4)

to:

4. Describe the steps to confirm the Architecture Roadmap (KLP 14.4)

In Learning Outcome 5, delete as a separate line item:

Transition Architecture

In Learning Outcome 5, change from:

Architecture Definition Document

to:

Architecture Definition Document, including Transition Architectures (if any)

3.7 Learning Unit 21: Architecture Partitioning

The following changes have been made to this Learning Unit.

The purpose of the module has changed from:

The purpose of this Learning Unit is to help the Candidate understand how an overall enterprise architecture can be partitioned to meet the specific needs of the organization.

to:

The purpose of this Learning Unit is to help the Candidate understand how Architecture Partitioning can be used to simplify the development and maintenance of an enterprise architecture.

Learning Outcome 2 has been removed:

Describe the situations that would call for Architecture Partitioning (KLP 40.1)

Learning Outcomes 3-7 have changed from:

3. Describe the characteristics of architecture when considering partitioning (KLP 40.2)
4. Describe the characteristics of solutions when considering partitioning (KLP 40.3)
5. Explain the tiers of architecture included in the Architecture Landscape (KLP 40.4-2)
6. Explain how Architecture Partitioning can foster best practices and architecture re-use (KLP 40.4-3)
7. Describe how Architecture Partitioning can be employed at each phase of the ADM (40.5-5)

to:

2. Describe the classification criteria for solutions and architectures when considering partitioning (KLP 40.2-1)
3. Describe how Architecture Partitioning can be employed in the Preliminary Phase of the ADM (KLP 40.2)

3.8 Learning Unit 23: Guidelines for Adapting the ADM: Iteration and Levels

Learning Outcome 7 is moved to become Learning Outcome 4.

In Learning Outcome 5, change from:

5. Explain the need to apply the ADM at multiple levels within an enterprise (KLP 20-1)

to:

6. Explain how the concepts of levels and the Enterprise Continuum are used to organize the Architecture Landscape (KLP 20-1)

Learning Outcome 8 has been removed:

8. Explain how levels and iteration can be combined in the ADM (KLP 20.1)

3.9 Learning Unit 25: Guidelines for Adapting the ADM: SOA

Learning Outcome 2 has been removed:

2. Explain the key SOA concepts from the TOGAF metamodel (KLP 22.2)

A new Learning Outcome is added:

3. Describe the recommended SOA adaptations to the ADM (KLP 22-3)