Security Forum Charter
Open FAIR update to the
Body of Knowledge

Status: Draft
Revision level: d2
Date: 04 January 2019

1. Project Description

Establish a review of the Standards (O-RT and O-RA) to confirm or update the current versions in order to answer:

- Are there Inconsistencies?

- Are the standards still correct?

- Is this what we still believe?

- How has industry extended the standards in their use or technique?

The review process will be managed through the Security Forum with review through the Open Group Community and other invited guests.

2. Goals of this Project

The following subprojects and associated goals are proposed:

1. Relocate Informative Guidance - Reduce normative standard content in both O-RA & O-RT, and park in a draft white paper.

2. Define Level 2 Certification - Add Level 2 certification content to O-RA and to conformance requirements and do the other work necessary to create a 2nd level to the certification.

3. Improve Consistency – Review and edit O-RA and O-RT together to ensure consistency and remove overlaps. Examples include interpreting results, adding reference to the FAIR Risk Analysis Tool, and a rethink of the current BRAGG Tables

4. Extend Guidance on Use of Distributions - Expand current reference to PERT in O-RA & O-RT with additional distributions, identifying appropriate use in FAIR. Consider relevant content from the Open FAIR Tool Guide to the Theory of Operation.

5. Integrate Open FAIR with Bow-Tie Content – Use words and graphics (based on the Integrating Open FAIR with Bow-Tie chart) to introduce categories of controls and how they relate to elements of FAIR in O-RA or O-RT.

6. Engage the Open Process Automation (OPA) group as appropriate in the review process to promote adoption.

3. Project Deliverables

A. Changes to The Open FAIR Body of Knowledge

B. Changes to The Certification Program

C. Strategic Roadmap

The project team will formally deliver on an ongoing-basis a quarterly-updated strategic roadmap for consumption by those outside the project who want to keep abreast of its goals, planned activities, and accomplishments. *The roadmap will include the following components:*

1) *Detailed Deliverables List: The team will discuss the items that will be executed in this revision. As that information is defined over the course of the project, the Strategic Roadmap will serve as the up-to-date, formal record of information about project deliverables.*
2) *Timeline: The strategic roadmap will include an estimated delivery timeline for all project deliverables. The timeline will be updated on at least a quarterly basis with the rest of roadmap as the work progresses.*
3) *Record of Accomplishments: The Strategic Roadmap will serve as the formal record of completed work.*

4. Project Value Proposition

Ensure that the Open FAIR BoK effectively communicates the distilled wisdom of people with expertise in its subject matter and provides value to organizations in industry and academia who value risk analysis. Part of the value is derived from the clarity, brevity and consistency of the content.

A. Value to Organizations that employ Risk Analysts

B. Value to Risk Analysts

C. Value to Industry

D. Value to Academia

5. Project Personnel

A. Stakeholders

The governing stakeholders of the project are:

1) Members of The Open Group
2) Security Architects/Risk Analysis within Industry and academia

B. Team

The project team will be drawn from members of The Open Group Security Forum. The project leadership will be selected through the normal procedures of The Open Group.

6. Project Methodology

This final section describes the project methodology, which includes:

1. Approach - Phases

1. Assign a leader/writer

2. Establish a method for managing changes

3. Reference community input: review the Survey on Open FAIR Standards, creating change proposals for relevant input

4. Review O-RA and O-RT inputs for Level 2: create change proposals for relevant input

5. Identify content to remove: create change proposals

6. Identify content to change with action to be taken (reference reconciliation between standards, type of change needed)

7. Review change proposals, deciding to approve, disapprove or hold for future action

8. Draft updated O-RA and O-RT

9. Review and approve updated documents

10. Determine future action on hold items

2. Roadmap

1. Establish phases

2. Perform a phase, confirm completion, perform next phase

3. Close project

3. Governance

Governance of the initiative will be the Open Group standard policy and procedures.

4. Approval

Project deliverables will be approved by The Open Group. The project charter will be approved by the Security Forum Steering Committee through the normal mechanism of The Open Group.